

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 ROBERT JOSEPH MCCARTY,

2:11-CV-1538 JCM (NJK)

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOHN V. ROOS, et al.,

12 Defendants.  
13

14 **ORDER**

15 Presently before the court is state defendants Patrick Saunders and Charlene Hoerth's motion  
16 for extension of time to respond to plaintiff's motion for leave to amend complaint. (Doc. # 122).  
17 *Pro se* plaintiff Robert Joseph McCarty responded. (Doc. # 124).

18 State defendants request an extension of time based on the length and complexity of *pro se*  
19 plaintiff's, Robert Joseph McCarty, second amended complaint. Plaintiff seeks leave to allege  
20 constitutional violations that did not appear in his first amended complaint.

21 Good cause appearing,

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that state defendants Patrick  
23 Saunders and Charlene Hoerth's motion for extension of time to respond to plaintiff's motion for  
24 leave to amend complaint (doc. # 122) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.


25 ...

26 ...

27 ...  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants have **up to, and including, February 12,**  
2 **2013**, to respond to plaintiff's motion for leave to amend.

3 DATED January 25, 2013.

4  
5   
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**